

The Thermal Time Hypothesis

Time from State, not Background: A Minimal Note
J. R. Dunkley
15th December 2025

Context: Why “time” is suspicious. In generally covariant physics there is no preferred external time parameter. Yet we observe directed behaviour: equilibration, ageing, decay. The Thermal Time Hypothesis (TTH) proposes that the parameter we call time is emergent from the statistical state itself.

The Proposal. Given a system and a reference state, the state determines a preferred one-parameter flow on the algebra of observables. That flow is the system’s **thermal time**. Ordinary clock time is the special case where the state is thermal with respect to a Hamiltonian and a background time already exists.

Core statement (minimal form):

A faithful equilibrium state selects a unique modular flow on observables. Interpret that flow as physical time.

1. What it says

TTH is a statement about **state-defined dynamics**. You do not start with an external parameter and then define evolution. You start with a state (your information about the system) and ask what intrinsic canonical flow that state defines.

In operator-algebra language, the state determines a modular automorphism group. In physics language, the state picks the transformations that preserve its equilibrium structure, and those transformations define the “ticks” of thermal time.

2. Recovering ordinary time

If the state is Gibbs for some Hamiltonian, the modular flow coincides with Hamiltonian time evolution, up to a constant rescaling. The nontrivial content is the opposite direction: even when no background time is preferred, a state can still pick a preferred flow. Time is therefore **relational**: it belongs to the pair (system, state).

3. Operational reading

An observer only accesses a coarse-grained algebra. Their state assignment is effectively thermal on that algebra, and the induced modular flow is their natural “clock”. Different coarse-grainings can, in principle, imply different thermal times.

4. Quantum gravity relevance

The Hamiltonian constraint removes external time from the fundamental description. TTH restores dynamics by choosing a physically motivated state, then using its modular flow as the clock. The “problem of time” becomes: which states define useful flows for the observables you care about.

5. UIH viewpoint

UIH treats both relaxation and coherent motion as geometry: $K = G + J$ defines dissipative and reversible flows, and characteristic timescales arise intrinsically. TTH is the equilibrium counterpart: **the state picks the clock**. In both, experienced time is the parameter of a canonical, state-selected flow.

6. What to compute

To make TTH concrete, specify (i) the accessible algebra (coarse-graining), (ii) the reference state (equilibrium or steady state), and (iii) the induced modular flow and whether it matches effective dynamics. If two coarse-grainings give different flows, “time” is observer-dependent; if the modular flow reduces to Hamiltonian time in a suitable limit, ordinary physics is recovered.